Almond and the Influenza Pandemic

Spanish Influenza has endangered the prosecution the WAR in Europe. There are use cases in the Navy Yard 30 deaths have already resulted SPITTING SPREADS SPANISH DONT SPIT INFLUENZA f all in a

Photo # NH 41731-A Influenza precaution sign at the Naval Aircraft Factory, Philadelphia, 19 Oct. 1918

- ∢ ∃ ▶



J. Parman (College of William & Mary) Global Economic History, Spring 2017

"As a result of your treatment for hookworm in our school...we have here in our school-rooms today about 120 bright, rosy-faced children, whereas had you not been sent here to treat them we would have had that many pale-faced, stupid children." – 1912 letter from Varnado, LA school board



Cohort-Specific Relationship Between Income and Pre-Eradication Hookworm

These graphics summarize regressions of income proxies on pre-cradication hookworm-infection rates by state of bith. The y axis for each graphic plots the estimated cohort-specific coefficients on the state-level hookworm measure. The x axis is the cohort's year of birth. Each year-of-birth cohort's point estimate is marked with a dot. The dashed lines measure the number of years of potential childhood exposure to the Rockfeller Sanitary Commission's activities. For the undertaking regressions, the dependent variables are constructed from the indicated income provises (the Duncan Socioeconomic Indicator and the Occupational Income Score). For each year-of-birth cohort, OLS regression coefficients are estimated on the cross section of incomes by state of birth. In the basis specifica-Labergott's measure of 1809 wage levels, and regional dummies. The 'full contool's specification contains in addition the various controls variables described in the Appendix. The regressions are estimated using weight equal to the square root of the cell size in the undertying microdata.

April 12, 2017 4 / 45

< < p>< < p>



Cohort-Specific Relationship Between Income and Pre-Eradication Hookworm

April 12, 2017 5 / 45



Health and Human Capital

- We saw from Almond's work on influenza and Bleakley's work on hookworm that health has major impacts on worker productivity and economic development
- The influenza pandemic showed that individuals receiving a negative health shock in utero ended up with lower educational attainments, higher rates of disability and lower incomes
- When hookworm was eradicated in the South, school attendance, educational attainments, occupational status and incomes rose
- These were both American examples, maybe they only apply to America or the 1910s
- Let's quickly look at one more Bleakley paper dealing with eradication of malaria (Bleakley, AEJ: Applied, 2010)



April 12, 2017 8 / 45

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三









Figure 4. Cohort-Specific Relationships: Income and Pre-Campaign Malaria

April 12, 2017 11 / 45

Malaria Cases Around the World Today



April 12, 2017 12 / 45

- If labor efficiency is the problem, why did that lead to divergence after the Industrial Revolution?
- Three reasons why differences in labor efficiency leads to more divergence today than in preindustrial world:
 - In the Malthusian world, labor efficiency affected population, not income per person
 - Odern medicine has allowed for lower income per person levels than in preindustrial times
 - New production techniques may have raised the wage premium for high-quality labor

Labor Efficiency in a Malthusian World





April 12, 2017 15 / 45

э

(日) (同) (三) (三)





Figure 2. Typhoid Fever Trends (Mortality per 100,000) and Sanitary Interventions, 1900–1936

From Cutler and Miller (2005)

Last month Mrs. Franklin D. Roosevelt. who loves few things better than a big family feast, gave up Thanksgiving dinner at Hyde Park to rush to Boston where Son Franklin Jr. lay abed with what was described to the press as 'sinus trouble.' The young man did have infected sinuses, and he was in the capable, Republican hands of Dr. George Loring Tobey Jr., a fashionable and crackeriack Boston ear, nose and throat specialist. He also had a graver affliction, septic sore throat, and there was danger that the Streptococcus haemolyticus might get into his blood stream. Once there the germs might destroy the red cells in his blood. In such a situation, a rich and robust Harvard crewman is no safer from death than anybody else.

When Franklin Roosevelt's throat grew swollen and raw and his temperature rose to a portentous degree. Dr. Tobey gave him hypodermic injections of Prontosil, made him swallow tablets of a modification named Prontylin. Under its influence, young Roosevelt rallied at once, thus providing an auspicious introduction for a product about which U. S. doctors and laymen have known little. – Time Magazine, 12/28/1936



www.delcampe.net

(日) (同) (三) (三)





From Jayachandran, Lleras-Muney and Smith (2009)



c. Log scarlet fever mortality rate per 100,000

From Jayachandran, Lleras-Muney and Smith (2009)



1 1

→ < ∃ >

- Advances in medicine, agriculture and nutrition have dramatically improved our ability to keep people alive
- This is mostly a good thing for the people and the economy
- Generally, better health has allowed us to live longer, more productive lives: good for our happiness, good for the economy
- Consider the social returns estimated by Cutler and Miller (2005)

Table 10. Social Rates of Return

	Point Estimate	95% CI Low	95% CI High
% Mortality Reduction Due to Clean Water	0.1326	0.0373	0.2280
1915 Mortality Reduction per 100,000 Population	208	58	357
1915 Deaths Averted	1,484	418	2,551
1915 Person-Years Saved	57,922	16,301	99,543
1915 Annual Benefits in Millions of 2003 Dollars	679	191	1,167
1915 Annual Costs in Millions of 2003 Dollars	29		
Social Rate of Return	23:1	7:1	40:1
Cost per Person-Year Saved in 2003 Dollars	500	1,775	291

э

- So modern medicine medicine has made us much, much healthier and more productive
- Why is this related to the Great Divergence?
- If you are still in a somewhat Malthusian economy, better health isn't good from an income standpoint
- Health improvements effectively lower the subsistence income floor
- You end up with more people living at a lower income, leading to bigger gaps relative to rich countries
- Compounding this are modern gains in food production





Population Growth over the Last 500 Years

China, India, Africa, Latin America, Western Europe, and United States



Source: Angus Maddison, University of Groningen

< ∃⇒



April 12, 2017 29 / 45

3



April 12, 2017 30 / 45

э



April 12, 2017 31 / 45

3



April 12, 2017 32 / 45

э

Technology-Skill Complementarities

- A final component of divergence is skill-biased technological change
- The early industrialization we've talked about replaced skilled workers with machines and unskilled workers
- However, technological change since then hasn't necessarily benefited unskilled workers
- The technological change in the 20th century in particular seems to be more *skill-biased*

Artisanal Production



3. 3

Image: A math a math

Factory Production



Assembly Line Production



April 12, 2017 36 / 45

Batch/Continuous Process Production



► < ∃ ►</p>

These five machines perform every necessary movement of the grain, and meal, from one part of the mill to another, and from one machine to another, through all the various operations, from the time the grain is emptied from the wagoner's bag until completely manufactured into flour without the aid of manual labor, excepting to set the different machines in motion. – Oliver Evans, 1848

Batch/Continuous Process Production



Notes: The data are from the Censuses of Manufactures, 1900-1939. Water refers to power created at the firm level with their own water wheels, steam refers to power created at the firm level in steam engines, and electricity refers to power created either at the firm level and that was converted to electricity, or purchased electricity.

Modern Production Processes



Success Rate

э

э

Technology-Skill Complementarities



1975 1977 1979 1981 1983 1985 1987 1989 1991 1993 1995 1997 1999 2001 2003 2005 2007 2009 2011



http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2413664/Forget-darning-baking-fixing-car–skills-REALLY-need-21st-century-setting-satnav-putting-rubbish-right-bin.html

Technological change	K/Q	$K/(L_s + L_u)$	$L_s/(L_s + L_u)$
(a) Shift from artisanal or hand trades (<i>H</i>) to			
factory production (F)	îa	? ^b	¢
(b) Shift from factory (F) to assembly-line (A)			
production (Hicks-neutral technical change)	Ļ	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
(c) Shift from assembly-line (A) to continuous-			
process (or batch) methods (C)	Î	Î	Î

TABLE I PREDICTIONS OF THE FRAMEWORK

K = capital stock.

 $L_s =$ skilled or more-educated labor.

Lu = unskilled or less-educated labor.

a. The prediction is obtained when $(\lambda_{F}^{L}/\lambda_{H}^{k}) \leq [(1 - \alpha_{I})/(1 - \alpha_{I})] \cdot (r_{I_{F}}^{*}/r_{F}^{*})$. That is, considering the restrictive case discussed in the text of equal r^{*} for H and F, the prediction is correct only if the higher K^{*} -intensity for the H technology is outweighed by the greater use of K in the creation of K^{*} in the F technology.

b. The impact of (a) on $[K/(L_s + L_u)]$ is ambiguous in the case when $[L_s/(L_s + L_u)]$ declines.

c. The prediction holds in the restrictive case of equal r^* for H and F. When the r^*s differ, the condition is $(r_{H}^*/r_{F}^*) < [(\alpha_{F}/\alpha_{H})] \cdot [(1 - \alpha_{H})/(1 - \alpha_{F})] \cdot (\lambda_{F}^{1}/\lambda_{H}^{1}).$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

Technology-Skill Complementarities

Chart 2 Who benefits?

Foreign capital used to flow to poor countries, but now flows mostly to rich countries.



Technology and the Great Divergence

- So why is a low-skilled labor force problematic with modern technology?
- Modern production process are complex
- One worker messing up can have dramatic impact on output
- Technology has also evolved in ways that favor high skill workers
- This isn't just about engineering skill, many sectors now require computer and communication skills
- So the path of technological change has created bigger benefits for high-skilled countries and potentially left low-skilled countries behind